D. F. 44894

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1893-94

192 .

(L. R)

File No. 92879

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-7

Index ~~Part~~ B for October 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Narasappa and others Regarding Inamkhoti of Sidlaghatta Taluk.

RS

Connected - Interest

Civil Suit No. 17 of 1893-94.

Petition No. 5612/1896

Petitioners' Exhibits

Respondents' Exhibits

1893-94 & 24-12-95.

Petitioner

Counter Petitioner.

Respondent.

1. Ramaswami (minor)
Rajaram Ramaswami
Sadasivam Iyer Venkatachalapathi - guardians
Jaya Ramma (mother)
Ramaswami-Jayaramma
Nirmalar.

2. Subbiramaiya Ramaswami
Ramaswami Ramaswami
Ramaswami Subbiramaiya
Jayaramma Ramaswami
Nirmalar and Venkatachalapathi

3. Jayaramma (minor).
Jayaram Ramaswami
Subbiramaiya Ramaswami
Jayaramma Ramaswami
Nirmalar and Venkatachalapathi

The Petitioners claim a Share in the Shrotri of the following Villages.

1st Petitioner Ramaswami of Venkatapathi Iyer
Pairing 2 Annas
(1) Ramaswami 2
(2) Ramaswami Petitioner

2nd Subbiramaiya Ramaswami - of Ramaswami

3rd Jayaramma of Jayaramma.

Petitioners were the Respondents in Ramaswami of the respective Villages and Petitioner Jayaramma was the Civil Counter

Ptn. Case for hearing.
Give notice to both parties
[illegible]
29/6/94

adv. for ack. by Counsel and report how the Counsel should come to hold Inam Court. [illegible]

Posted to 19 July
Issue notice to both parties
Send the draft into the Head
to the Dy Comm. of Police
[illegible]
Dept. 2 Aug 30/6.
Returned to the Head
[illegible]
2/8

to have one Stal and one Circar Chauk
for each village. In support of this
hereunto Khararu of 3rd January 1846 bea
the Signature and Seal of the then Amild
entered into by Silaramia, Srinivasa
and Komaria with my grandfather Fun
wherein it is mentioned that my grandfath
Fundappa was entitled to 3/4 share and Chauk
Sopalia to 1/4.

The following divisions were effected of the
villages.

Kasaba Sidlaghatta with town, Nelamaradah
-halli, Silemanhalli, Handiganahalli and
Kadadanahunte to my grandfather Fundapp
Sowdana Kere, Keshavapura and Ammana
Kere to Silaramia and Srinivasa Row
Budihal, Kempasamipad, Ittalahalli
Amur, Hunsenahalli, Salamanahalli,
Sabanahalli and Eddalodu to Komaria.

My grandfather Fundappa is dead
He had three Sons viz. Krishnappa, Appaiya
and Khadrappa. All of them are dead.
Krishnappa has an adopted Son Purshotam
Appajappa has no male issues living
Khadrappa has a Son of 8 years old named
Narasappa. He is a minor. It was ordered
in District Munsif Kaithum No. 502 of 11-1-8
that his name should be registered to Khasaba
Sidlaghatta both for land revenue and
Municipal Collections and that during
his minority I should conduct the duties
accordingly myself and Stal Chauk

jointly conducted the duties from
Jarana to Khaira. Both of us prepared
the accounts and both of us have signed
them. Collection work was also conducted
by both of us. And the work was distri-
buted. Both of us prepared the accounts
jointly and have signed them. This might
be seen. Moreover in Parliva I prepared
the Survey Jamabandi accounts and those
connected with the villages of Sopalia. I
did this with his consent with a view
that Sopalia might in a future occasion
help me in the preparation of my accounts.
These accounts connected with 30 years
collections of Kasaba Sidhapatta were pre-
-pared by myself ~~prepared these~~.

I prepared three-fourths of every kind
of accounts connected with the Survey Jamabandi
of this village - Sopalia prepared the other
quarter with (his) help.

Narasappa Gundappa Gundappa Repositor-
-dar of ~~Kasaba~~ Sidhapatta born and
municipality has had 6-3/4 share while
Sopalia has for 1/4

I fell ill at the commencement of Jaudama.
Since then Sopalia has been conducting all
the duties. I told Sopalia I would in spite
of my illness conduct my duties, but he
did not allow me. Since then I have
been petitioning to Government.

I have been conducting duties from
Jarana, and the Patil accounts were not

of the two villages as well as festival and Pitaliali.

The Civil and Criminal Courts of Sessions and the Imarat.

In the assessment made for the purposes of Calcutta Municipality, the town of Calcutta was constituted one Sale with a Majistrate. The villages of Cossipore, Goodmanree, Dakhal and Pitaliali were together constituted one Sale with a Majistrate.

No police was taken for the Municipal Limits of Calcutta.

In the early part of 93 complaints were preferred by Petitioners, of letters were by the Cossipore Petitioners to deposition of their Chaukidars in the Courts and Encroachments of the office. The several Assistant Commissioners, Police and an Engineer visited the disputed.

The contentions of the parties appear from the following depositions:-

Kalachand Pal (who represents Bag) Cossipore Police Circle. — 1-7-93

I am 18 years of age Goodmanree, Cossipore, Chitpore, Dakhal and Pitaliali. My present residence is Goodmanree. I am a Cossipore Police Circle. I am a Chaukidar. I have been in the service of the Municipality for 4 years. I have been in the service of the Municipality for 4 years. I have been in the service of the Municipality for 4 years.

1. Cossipore Chitpore
2. Pitaliali Dakhal
3. Dakhal
4. Goodmanree
5. Cossipore
6. Goodmanree
7. Cossipore
8. Pitaliali
9. Cossipore Police
10. Cossipore

1-7-93

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]
6. [illegible]
7. [illegible]
8. [illegible]
9. [illegible]
10. [illegible]
11. [illegible]
12. [illegible]
13. [illegible]

me in pursuance of District Burgar Kalkum
No. 243 of 23-9-73 issued on the complaint
of Registrar Kaupundra and in accordance with
the desire of Registrar Greenwas Row.
accordingly I discharged the duties till date.
Subsequently having had no means of liveli-
-hood owing to famine I hot myself em-
-ployed on Relief work and Eked out my Exist-
-ence. afterward Subba Row Son of Trim-
-vasa Row has proposed to appoint a Servant
but Shanbogue Sopalia told him there
was not necessity for it and that he would
manage the duties without giving
cause for any complaint and pay him
mirasi dem. Since then Sopalia has
been doing the duty. Now the Registrar
Trimbas Row is dead. He had four
Children who are also dead. of whom
the Eldest Son Ramanna Sundappa has
a Son named Ramanna who is also dead
His Son Sundappa a minor has petition
to have the appointment registered in
his name. I am his guardian and
he is in my protection. I am the elder
Son of the said Sundappa. I was adopted
to Sundappa's uncle Venkata Row. who
adopted Son dead.

Since Hal Shanbogue Sopaliah has
a share in Sowdankere alloted to us, one
of the two Tanks viz. Sowdankere & Amana
Kere may be given to him as done
by our Hissedar Lakshmanappa
and the other to myself & Lakshmanappa
If ordered we agreed to take work of these Kere
on the respective individuals and to distri-

the Potaji amount proportionately
myself and Lakshminarrappa would
conduct the duties jointly.

Shd Chanbasye & Sopalia stated as
follows: -

I have been from the beginning the
Shd Chanbasye of the undisputed
six villages. Of these Sidlagatta town
was lately separated. and separate accounts
were ordered to be kept for Land Revenue
and Municipality. This is being done
accordingly.

Narasappa grandson of Chanbasye
Pundappa is the Sirkar Chanbasye of
Kasaba Sidlagatta, and Lakshminarrappa
is the Sirkar Chanbasye of Ammanakere.
Srinivas Row uncle of Chenpal Row
is the Sirkar Chanbasye of Powdanakere.
and Nowdappa is the Sirkar Chanbasye
of Budihal. I have purchased the letter Book.
I am Shd Chanbasye of all these villages.
Potaji amount has been fixed by charging
full assessment to my Service Inam
Lands. and the Inam Lands of the Sirkar
Chanbasyes have not been taken into
account in the Potaji. Their Inam is
in Jari. I have lately been conducting the duties
connected with the Land Revenue of Kasaba
Sidlagatta and the town. Khadrappa
has not done this. As to the existence
of Khadrappas Signature in the
accounts relating to the said period, he
might have affixed his Signature to them
at the time when I had brought the
accounts to the Cutcheri during Jamabandi

1. Sidlagatta
2. Budihal
3. Ammanakere
4. Powdanakere
5. Pabanhalli
6. Sidlagatta town.

Lucie Hun. Hereof produced a certificate prepared by Josiah Cummings in Civil Service Uniform in relation to the Settlement of Marriage Certificate - to which it was impressed the Civil Marriage Seal and signed the Certificate in Usual Manner and that the Certificate and Counterfoil were likewise produced signed and Sealed as Required by Law - Same also produced an Original Statement of Accounts of 31-5-72 Count Hussey Vicar and Treasurer prepared by Company Petty Officer Church Seal (Certificate Ref)

Do the Parties Respectively Settled Upon Separation Deed produced and proved as the Deed - Settlement Covenants Antenuptial, Consideration, Provisions and Settlements in the Deed Contained Shall be performed & Trusts thereof Carried into Effect Same and Shall Remain Valid Operative & Binding on the Parties

Lieu Hereof Specific Performance Relief Shall be Granted Upon Petition (Affidavit & Summons)

~~Petitioner Petitioner Petitioner~~ ~~Petitioner Petitioner~~ ~~Petitioner~~ ~~Petitioner~~ ~~

during Lenten season the Petitioner Archbishop have stated the Petitioner received from the people in connection with the said premises of Lalibela Church. It is not possible to prepare which the Petitioner are ready to refer to the Church Council of Ethiopia.

Mr. Said Lalibela Church is built by the Petitioner Church of Ethiopia. Now the Petitioner "Petitioner" cannot pay money and people are here for Petitioner (Mr. G) how they are Petitioner. His was prepared by me. It is different from it that Mr. Said, that is by public people Petitioner stated that the Petitioner Archbishop of Ethiopia and Lalibela Church of Petitioner Archbishop of Ethiopia.

We the public proceeding Petitioner Petitioner is dated 6 May 1952. The Petitioner is the Head Quarters of by public people. It is different opinion of the Petitioner Archbishop of Ethiopia and Lalibela Church of Petitioner Archbishop Emanuel to Petitioner the said Petitioner.

We found it proper to examine the Petitioner explained in the Exhibit page 20 - 7 - 52 copy of which is hereto attached held:—

(1) that the Petitioner Church had performed the duties of Religious Society Petitioner and that the Church Petitioner, the

(1) That the Municipal Corporation of Bombay be directed to frame a Development Plan for the Municipal Area of Bombay in accordance with the provisions of Part II of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954 and to submit the same to the Government of Maharashtra for sanction within a period of two years from the date of this Order.

(2) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be on a scale of not less than 4" to a mile.

(3) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(4) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(5) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(6) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(7) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(8) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(9) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(10) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(11) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(12) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(13) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(14) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(15) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(16) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(17) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(18) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(19) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(20) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(21) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(22) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(23) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(24) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(25) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(26) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(27) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(28) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(29) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(30) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(31) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(32) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(33) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(34) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(35) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(36) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(37) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(38) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(39) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(40) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(41) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(42) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(43) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(44) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(45) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(46) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(47) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(48) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(49) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(50) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(51) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(52) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(53) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(54) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(55) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(56) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(57) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(58) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(59) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(60) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(61) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(62) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(63) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(64) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(65) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(66) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(67) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(68) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(69) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(70) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(71) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(72) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(73) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(74) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(75) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(76) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(77) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(78) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(79) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(80) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(81) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(82) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(83) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(84) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(85) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(86) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(87) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(88) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(89) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(90) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(91) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(92) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(93) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(94) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(95) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(96) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(97) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(98) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(99) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

(100) That the Development Plan to be prepared by the Municipal Corporation of Bombay shall be published in the Official Gazette of Maharashtra in accordance with the provisions of Section 30 of the Bombay Town Planning Act, 1954.

as Slal Shanbogue was entitled to preau
consideration, should have the Shanb
of the Land Revenue Section of Sidlaghatta
and the 1st Petitioner of the Municipal Secti
(2) that the 2d Petitioner had performed
the duties of Annamaullere jointly with
Comber Petitioner and that each of these
should in future discharge the duties of
this Village in alternate years and take
Rs. 18-12-0 out of the Potaje fixed for the
2d Scale.

(3) that Petitioner No 3 did not perform
the duties of Sowdankere and is not
entitled to any share.

Against the above decision the Comber
Petitioner Gopalia Submitted an appeal
to the Dy. Commissioner urging that the
Assistant Commissioner's order respecting
Sidlaghatta town and Annamkere was
opposed to Circle Commissioner's Proceedings
No. 1615-56 of 17-5-79 and the Survey Rules
that both the Scales have been given to his
uncle and brother, that the Comber Petitioner
has nothing to fall back upon except
the Municipal Section of Sidlaghatta and that
the Circar Shanbogues never helped him
in preparing the accounts.

No. 390/4-8-93.

The Comber Petitioner also produced the
following Endorsement from the Survey
Amin Superintendent:—

In pursuance of a yadi received from the
Survey Department requesting that as
it would decided during Pattila Potaje that there
would be no
~~was no~~ Potaje necessity in future for the Services of Sidla

Pakka Polaji declared as stal Chambo
that Lopalia is not a looser by the fact
of his Inam having been charged with
full apeyment as the petitioner is also
placed under the same predicament; that
it is not in conformity with the Chief Comm.
Pro. No. 2190/27-10-74 to have conferred the
charge of these Villages whose Jamabandi
amounts to Rs. 9000 on one family; that
there are Curlar and stal Chambojes for
the same Villages in certain parts of this
Taluk and that as the Chamboji is of a
very ancient origin and his request to
examine Lopalia's father Seenappa was
not complied with the case might be
ordered to be reinvestigated.

18-8-93. The Guardian of the 3d. Petitioner sub-
-mits an appeal as follows.

That the resp.ts of Soudankere is still in
the name of Seeniva Row grandfather of this
Inam appa; that the Jud. Apts. Jour. without
sending for and Examining her Examined her
Gumastha & finally Chengal Row and unjustly
decided the matter in favor of Lopalia; that
Lopalia without bringing to the notice of Govern
-ment whether or not the duty was being con-
-ducted by her Gumastha, conducted the duty himself
and used to pay her a small portion of the
Mirasi dues; that Lands assessed at Rs. 30/-
are attached as Inam to Annanakere while
there are none to Soudankere belonging thereto;
that the Jud. Apts. Commissioner wrongly in-
-cluded Lands assessed at Rs. 61/- as her Inam
while they are actually in the Enjoyment
of the Kiledars of Harasabha Chambojes
of Kasaba Sidlaghatta; that the Jud. Apts.

Chancellor for the Village of Victoria
Petitioners, Owners of Real Estate
Fronting on the Streets to be Improved
Your Petitioners Request that
there may be Laid Down Curbs
Gutters and Crosswalks on the
Following Streets - Viz on Victoria
Street to the Northern Limits
of the Village

We the Petitioners Further Request
that the Following Kinds of
Material be Used in the Construction
of the Above Improvements
Viz Vitrified Brick on Victoria Street
from Main Street to the Northern
Limits of the Village

15-8-92

And We Further Request that the Work
Contemplated by Your Petitioners
be Done by Day Work and Not by
Contract and We Further Request
that the Village Shall Pay Two
Thirds of the Cost of the Said
Improvements and that the Remaining
One Third of the Cost of the Said
Improvements be Assessed upon the
Property Fronting on the Said
Streets According to the Frontage
thereof

And We Further Request that the
Said Improvements be Made
Under the Supervision of the
Village Engineer

And We Further Request that the
Said Improvements be Commenced
Immediately after the Passing
of the By-Law Authorizing the
Same and Completed on or
Before the First Day of
October A.D. 1892

And We Further Request that
the Said Improvements be
Made in the Manner Provided
by the Statutes in that Behalf
and Amendments Thereto

And We Further Request that
the Said Improvements be
Made Under the Provisions
of the Local Improvement
Act and Amendments Thereto

And We Further Request that
the Said Improvements be
Made in the Manner Provided
by the By-Laws of the
Village of Victoria Relating
to Local Improvements

And We Further Request that
the Said Improvements be
Made in the Manner Provided
by the By-Laws of the
Village of Victoria Relating
to Local Improvements

And We Further Request that
the Said Improvements be
Made in the Manner Provided
by the By-Laws of the
Village of Victoria Relating
to Local Improvements

Charges. Name of Ship. Landed Cwts. Rate per Ton. Rate per Cwt. Amount.

15

1 Lighterage — 7-4-2 12-8-2 12-8-1

2 Landing 2-3-2 15-2-2 15-4-4

3 Quarantine — 2-2-2 7-5-2 16-2-2

4 Wharfage — 2 — 4 — 4

Petty Cash — 1 — 2 — 4

Total Charges — 16-12-2 64-2-2 63-2-2

Discharging into the Quarantine Craft
Charges. Only those actually performed to be charged.

Charges. Name of Ship — Landed Cwts. Rate per Ton. Rate per Cwt. Amount.

1 Lighterage — 13-2- 28-4-2 28-4-2

2. Wharfage (1)
Quarantine (2)
Landing (3)
Petty Cash (4)
} 7-12 - 64-2-2 - 64-2-2

Discharging into Wharf Craft

The Landed Cwts. are to be taken after deducting Tare, Draft, Leakage, Breakage, Damage, Overplus, Short Delivery, &c.

The Total Cwts. of Landed Cwts. allowed in Discharging Charges, viz. 2, 3, 4 only, is specified in the Rate Book. Rate according to which Charges are made, specified in the Rate Book. Rate No. 33 of 1-1-32 with

a clear & true receipt accordingly to facts
and subscribed with proper names. He
should have settled them as receipt settle.

Since the Kandali land situated
in Std Khandesh are charged with proper
assessment the land to Khandesh in lieu
of Khandesh land Std. Khandesh land has Kandali land but
Indian Khandesh land Kandali
land of the Collr Jalgaon applications
for application for similar. Std said Khandesh
Collr. Since the Kandali Khandesh
Collr is right to transfer to Nasik work
Either person to Std. & Indian Khandesh

If according to the English terms the
proprietary rights of Khandesh were
vested in the Std Khandesh
and the Std and Std Khandesh were
agreed to the daily of Rs 100 annually in
Alternate years it would be simply
Shifting upon Khandesh and Khandesh
Rs. which is contrary to the facts.
Consequently it would be, in my opinion,
accordingly to facts & without effecting
the charge the four villages were conferred
on a Single individual. Upon attention
is invited to Rev. Co. No 39-229 of 7-5-78
on the subject.

Herewith the dy. Commissioner's report
on 16-9-93 that the Std Khandesh
should bear charge of with the Scales.

The appeal petition of Khandesh
above referred to were referred by the

The Hon'ble Collector
1. Sardesmukhi
2. Sardeshmukhi
3. Patwardhi

without examining the accounts and other records conferred communication exclusively on Copalia and directed that Copalia and Lakshminarayana should do Chanboje duty of Govadanikere by turns totally excluding her and that the matter might be agreed to be reinvestigated.

On the 6th August 93 the Dy. Commissioner made the following reference to the Survey Superintendent:—

It is understood that orders have been issued to charge full assessment on Inam lands attached to the office of Sist Chanboque but nothing has as yet been settled about those of the Sarkar Chanboques. He is therefore requested to intimate his opinion whether full assessment would also be charged on Inam lands of Sarkar Chanboques or whether their claim for duty would be dispensed with by Enfranchising their Inam lands with quit-rents.

The Survey Superintendent sent the following reply on 28th August 93:—

The villages in which land attached to the Sist Chanboque of Kasaba Sidlaghatta are:—

Charges	Name of Inam	Boombali land	Jodi	Profit
		a. g. yds.	assessment	
1	Sidlaghatta	13-6-0	28-4-0	28-4-0
2.	Govadanikere	2-31-0	21-0-0	21-0-0
	Ammanikere	2-20-0	18-0-0	18-0-0
	Budihal	2-21-0	5-0-0	5-0-0
	Bebrahalli	0	—	0 — 0
Total Beriz of two charges		20-38-0	72-4-0	72-4-0

The Sarkar Chanboques Inams are:—

(7)

Appeal No. 81 of 1893-94

P.
asappa
Minor by guardian
Janakamma
others

Respt
Gopalaiah

In the Parka Postage settlement,
the Deputy appointed
Respt as the Shanbhog of
the Parka as noted with margin,
as he was found to be the
State Shanbhog of those Parkas,
while the appt was the Serkar
Shanbhog. The is in accordance
with the opers of Govt Pro dated
7th March 1878 No. 10699,
299

Appellant's contention is
that he was entitled to the
office, not as Serkar Shanbhog

Up

By Commissioner &c. 9-8-95 Title
Forest Appeal. Form for Summary
Report on all Appeals heard in this
Petition, but the Magistrate's Order
will not be in the case when Eventually
disposed of in accordance with the Deputy
Superintendent's finding in above.

In the Appeal of [illegible] Petitioner
against the Decision of the Magistrate
[illegible] Court sitting at [illegible]
and dated the [illegible] day of
[illegible] 1895 and made in the
Petitioner's absence before the Respondent
of the Forest and Land Commissioner Respondent
Petitioner's Solicitor applied to the Forest
Commissioner;

(2) That the Forest Appeal Commissioner
had certified that there [illegible]
Reference [illegible] and [illegible] to allow
time for the production of the Reference;

(3). That the Deputy Superintendent
Deputy and the Commissioner's
Decision were delivered in Appeal the Commissioner
Appeal Court [illegible], the Forest
Assistant Commissioner [illegible] Deputy
Appellant [illegible] the Commissioner
Decision also the Respondent [illegible]
on Counter Petitioner;

(4) That the Deputy Superintendent
left it to the Petitioner [illegible] Commissioner

to title and title from the Municipality of Karachi and Petition thereof;

(5) that all the Rent charges (within the Municipal Limits) being imposed on Canal Petitions by the Sind Act No. VI of 1901 and declared not to be liable to land;

(6) that the appropriate authority for the Canal Petitions have vested the Municipality to levy and collect the Octroi duties in their area;

(7) that the Petitioners appointment of the Canal Petitions - objected to Chief Commissioners Circular No. 219 of 27-10-14;

(8) that there are pending in various Petitions Petitioners in the Petitions and Petitioners Estate;

(9) that, the - Municipality passed by the Petitioners were duly signed by Commissioners, but not;

(10) that the Petitioners Petitions have been heard on the 15th the Said Petitioners appointed, the Petitioners Petitioners Rate.

---

and Petitioners.

but as a Hereditary
of the Stala Shanbhogs.
The present accounts show
that Appu was a servant
Shanbhog, & if he considers
that the entries in the
present accounts are wrong
& that he is also a Stala
Shanbhog, he may (sue) bring
a Regular Suit &
establish his title.

Petitioner will be informed
accordingly

MC
25/9/94

Appeal No 8 of 1893-94

Appt

1 Nagappa
men by guardian
Sonakanna

2 Lakshmanappa.

3 Gurappa
men by guardian
Chegalraó

Acpt

Gokaka.

Appellants were the Settlement Officer, & Resp the State Authority of the 2 Tarfs comprising of the villages in the maps. In Village Nos 3 & 4

1 Tarfa
Siddalgatta

2 Tarfa
1 Amanikere
2 Gowdankere
3 Bude Hal
4 Pallal halli.

the State Authority obtained alone decrees, & there was no dispute regarding matters in the 1 Tarfa & with the 2 villages of the 2 Tarfa, both were conducted jointly for some time, but from 1896, the State Authority alone obtained decrees in Village No 2.

In No 1, the State Authority (Appt

of the 1st appl as described above,
& on no 2 the Respt & 2d
appl did duties — ~~Then~~
~~was the state of the~~ Each
of the parties had been separate
Lessees, & ~~no~~ had no other
fees — Then was the state of
things up to Pakka Potagi
which took place in 1893 —
In favour the Potagi allowance,
the Lessees enjoyed by the
Sirkar Shanbhags was not taken
into account — But the ~~Potagi~~ 2nd
Cont who ~~made~~ introduced
the Potagi system appointed
1st appl as Shanbhag of the
Municipal Portion of Doddagatta
~~and~~ the Revenue of which was
not taken into account in favour
the Potagi, & Recd as the Shanbhag
of the Lessees Portion — Then gave
a Potagi of Rs 8.1. to Respt &
about Rs 31 to 1st appl —
And for the 1st Year of the 2d

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 1060

Name of Petitioner. Govindappa (Munivar) Sanjeevarao, Ganapathirao Bhangal

Village. Lidlaghatta

Taluk. Do

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 15-10-93

Date of Receipt. 24-10-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

L.R. 92-93-1

ABSTRACT.

States that his grandfather Ramu
Rao was the Thanbhog of Gowdahalli
that after his death he applied for
the registry of his name to the name
Thanbhog Ship, that he got a reply
to the effect that he had no right for
the post as the post itself was transf
to Gopaliyya "Ithalada Thanbhog",
that this distinction of the two Thanb
was made only after 1864 before wh
his forefathers also were enjoying the
Thanbhogi office, that that is a wr

Cargo Births with a Revenue of that
Region + that it could be managed
by one Thanadar.

Prays therefore that the
Calf of the Births may be given
to the present Thanadar Gopaliya
the other to Atheir family remaining
himself + one Kahuniaranappa
Literaniya who will engage
by turns.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 1060 R
DATE 24-10-93

Tetka, he decided that 2d
~~Asst~~ Asstt were entitled to half
of the Respt's other half ordered
the duties to be performed by
rotation. ~~The Potagi~~ One of the total
Potagi of Rs 93-12 of the 21 Tetka, he
gave Rs ~~10-12~~ 18-12- to ~~Asstt~~ 2d Asstt
& the rest to Respt.— 3 d
Asstt's claim was disallowed ~~as~~
to any share as he did no duties since
1876- The Dy Com^r set-aside the
order of the Asst, & recognised the Respt
as the Sole Hereditary of both the Tetkas,
since the ~~Talm~~ Income of Asstts were
resp^y enhanced & then Below and taken
into account in fixing the Potagi allowance
the appeal is against this order —

large Thirka with a Revenue of about
Rs 9000 + that it cant be managed
by one Thambhog.

Prays therefore that ~~as in~~
half of the Thirka may be given
to the present Thambhog Gopaliya
the other to Hhis family consisting
himself & one Lukshminarayanappa
Litaraniya who will enjoy it
during.

11

Pet. 2000 2893

10-1-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

(2)

L.R. 92-93-2

...ing accompanying Petition No. 1566

dated Without date received at the
Dewan's Office from 15.12.93 Ramaiyya Minor
by Guardian Kallaiyya, and
Lakshmiramaiyya, of Kasba
Siddapura, appealing against
the order of the Deputy Commr
of Kolar, refusing to interfere
in regarding the office
of Shanbhog of Seddapura
is forwarded, together with its five
enclosures, to the Deputy-Commr
Kolar District for submission
of the proceedings with a report
on the facts of the case and on
the points urged in the petition
The petition with its enclosures
should be returned.

By Order,

Draft- 28/12

BANGALORE, 23 Dec 1893. for Chief Secretary

27/12

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894

From

The Commissioner,
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 6th March

Despatched 10th March

Received

Enclosures No. 6 & Record.

General No. 3631-3-3-94

No. 733

In reply to Chief Secy's Memo. No. 1/2 dated 28th January 1894.

SUBJECT.

Submits report & plan
relative to Encroachments
minor & boundary disputes
-maps and Schemes -
-maps regarding the
Question of Encroachments
in Srikalata.

13/3/94

Rec'd 13/3/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

the matter was referred by me for opinion intimated in his Kaveresse Yadi No 3301 dated the 28th August 1893 that the Sthal Shanbhogue was the Sirkar of the Villages in question, that the Emoluments derived from the official land held by this Shanbhogue had been appropriated to provide the Batage payable to the Officiator and that the Inams held by the Sirkar Shanbhogues (Appellants) would be enfranchised under the Rules (Clause 7 Para VIII of the Inam Rules

I have accordingly by an order No 386 dated the 16 September 1893 directed that Sthal Shanbhogue Gopaliah (Respondent) should be recognized as the Shanbhogue of all the 5 Villages under noticed and be required to perform the duties connected therewith. This order in consonance with the provisions contained in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 10,699-229 dated 4th March 1878.

81

Le Sieur [illegible] Surveyor
of the South Eastern Superior
District Land Titles Office
Ontario Canada [illegible]
23rd

Sir

I am directed by
the Minister of Railways
to request that you will
[illegible]
[illegible]

Urgent

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kalan

(5)

Encl: Ver: Sale Petn
in 1505 with 5 encls:-

L.R. 92-93-4

Re: 23325 / P.2125 & 29-6-94. 507

~~Kindly~~

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the above mentioned Encls: and the appeal of Nanaksha and the others regarding Shamlakoji of Kadda Ghalla and forward the same to ~~Gandhidham~~ Kandla for the information of the petitioners and to request him to call upon the petitioners to file their Vakalatnama in the said appeal case to hold Inam lands. The petitioners may be asked to file their Vakalatnama in the said appeal case to hold Inam lands before 15 July.

29/6

29/6/94

Under Secy

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 304

33

From The Dy. Commissioner
Kolar District

(6)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 7th } July
Despatched 9th }

Received

Enclosures No. record.

General No. 69
No. 20

In reply to Chief Secy's docket dated 29th ultimo. — 2833 & 12125

SUBJECT.

Submits report upon petition from Narasap-pa and others regarding the Shanbogi of Sidlaghatta Amanikere, Gowdankere &c in the Sidlaghatta Taluk &c -

Pdt. Rs. 10/11/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

ee 19 July

13/7

L.R. 92-93-5

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(9)

36

Appeal No. 81 of 1893-94

Appellant.

Varasappa, minor by guardian Janakamma and 2 Mors

Vs.

Respondent.

Gopaliah

A.R. 92-93-6

Decision. 26-9-94

In the Pakka Potagi Settlement, the Deputy Commissioner appointed the Respondent as the Shanbogue of the Firkas noted in the margin,* as he was found to be the Stāla Shanbogue of those Firkas, while the appellants were the Sirkar Shanbogues. This is in accordance with the spirit of Government Proceedings dated 7th March 1878, No 10699/299. Appellants

1st Firka	
Sidlaghatta	
2nd Firka	
(a) Amanikere	
(b) Govdankere	
(c) Bude Halli (Not in the plate)	
(d) Pattadchahalli (Not in the plate)	

Office of the Surveyor General
Kalispell, Montana
April 1, 1934

(6)

No. 20

Enclosed plat of Resurvey -

84

The Commissioner of the
General Land Office
Washington, D. C.

Sir:

In the matter of the application of Frank Bertsch, Serial No. 022251, dated the 27th day of February, 1933, for the Special Deposit Survey of Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44,

contention is that they ~~is~~ are entitled to the Office, not as Sirkar Shanbogue, but as ~~a~~ Hissedars of the Stala Shanbogues. The present accounts show that appellants ~~were~~ were Sirkar Shanbogues, and if they considers that the entries in the present accounts are wrong, and that they ~~are~~ are also ~~a~~ Stala ~~a~~ Shanbhogues, they may, if they thinks proper to do so, bring a Regular suit and establish their title. Petitioners will be informed accordingly.

"By Order"

Chief Secretary

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District with the records received with his No. 69/20 dated 7 July 1894

"By Order"

Chief Secretary

655 / Pet. 44-94

with your due acknowledgment are herewith returned.

Yours truly

Gov. Mark. Hopkins

Milford

Alpheus Hammond

Agent

2 Drafts for approval

No.

(8)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

L.R. 92-93-738

Endorsement granted to Ramaraja Urs, by guardian Ramakamma, and 2 others

in reply to their ~~his~~ Petition Registered No. 1560 ~~dated~~ without date appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Kolar regarding the Office of Shanbhog of Settikatte

Petitioner ~~is~~ are informed that in the present accounts they (Exhibits) as Archar Shanbhogs and if they consider that they ~~are~~ also Mala Shanbhogs, they may, if they thinks proper to do so, bring a Regular suit and establish their title

2 The case is returned

13/10/94

13/10/94

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.